

**WORCESTERSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCILS AND COUNTY COUNCIL**

**WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES**

**MEETING OF THE WORCESTERSHIRE SHARED SERVICES JOINT COMMITTEE**

**THURSDAY 21ST FEBRUARY 2013 AT 4.30 P.M.**

**THE COMMITTEE ROOM, THE COUNCIL HOUSE, BURCOT LANE,  
BROMSGROVE**

MEMBERS: Bromsgrove District Council: Councillor C. B. Taylor  
Bromsgrove District Council: Councillor M. A. Bullivant  
Malvern Hills District Council: Councillor Mrs. B. Behan  
Malvern Hills District Council: Councillor D. Hughes  
Redditch Borough Council: Councillor M. Braley  
Redditch Borough Council: Councillor P. Mould  
Worcester City Council: Councillor Mrs. L. Hodgson  
Worcester City Council: Councillor J. Riaz  
Worcestershire County Council: Councillor A. N. Blagg  
Worcestershire County Council: Councillor D. Thain  
Wychavon District Council: Councillor Mrs. E. Stokes  
Wychavon District Council: Councillor K. Jennings  
Wyre Forest District Council: Councillor P. Harrison  
Wyre Forest District Council: Councillor M. Hart

**AGENDA**

1. Apologies for absence and notification of substitutes
2. Declarations of Interest
3. To confirm the accuracy of the minutes of the meeting of the Worcestershire Shared Services Joint Committee held on 22nd November 2012 (Pages 1 - 6)
4. ICT Project Update - Verbal Update from the Project Manager and a short presentation by representatives from the supplier Idox.
5. Worcestershire Regulatory Services Performance Data - Quarter 3 2012/2013 (Pages 7 - 20)
6. Worcestershire Regulatory Services Service Plan 2013 / 2014 (Pages 21 - 64)
7. Worcestershire Regulatory Services Financial Monitoring April - November 2012 (Pages 65 - 72)

8. To consider any other business, details of which have been notified to the Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services prior to the commencement of the meeting and which the Chairman considers to be of so urgent a nature that it cannot wait until the next meeting

K. DICKS  
Chief Executive

The Council House  
Burcot Lane  
BROMSGROVE  
Worcestershire  
B60 1AA

13th February 2013

# Agenda Item 3

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### MEETING OF THE WORCESTERSHIRE SHARED SERVICES JOINT COMMITTEE

THURSDAY, 22ND NOVEMBER 2012 AT 6.35 P.M.

PRESENT: Councillors M. Hart (Chairman), D. Thain (Vice-Chairman), M. A. Bullivant, D. Hughes, Roger Hill (substituting for Councillor M. Braley), P. Mould, Mrs. L. Hodgson, J. Riaz, A. N. Blagg, Mrs. E. Stokes and P. Harrison

Observers: Mr. V. Allison, Deputy Managing director, Wychavon District Council and Mr. I. Pumfrey, Head of Community Services, Malvern Hills District Council

Invitees:

Officers: Ms. J. Pickering, Mr. S. Jordan, Mr. K. Barnett, Mr. M. Kay, Mr. S. Wilkes and Mrs. P. Ross

#### 25/12 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors C. B. Taylor, Bromsgrove District Council, Mrs. B. Behan, Malvern Hills District Council, M. Braley, Redditch Borough Council and K. Jennings, Wychavon District Council.

#### 26/12 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of interest were received.

#### 27/12 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting of the Worcestershire Shared Services Joint Committee held on 27th September 2012 were submitted.

**RESOLVED** that the minutes be approved as a correct record.

#### 28/12 WORCESTERSHIRE LEP REGULATORS BUSINESS CHARTER

The Committee considered a report which detailed the Worcestershire Regulatory and Business Partnership Charter.

The Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS) presented the report and in doing so informed the Committee of the positive contribution WRS had made to both the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) and the Worcestershire LEP, taking the lead for the

'regulatory' theme. WRS was a UK leader in simplifying regulatory cultures and delivery which supported business growth and enterprise whilst reducing burdens on businesses. The Worcestershire LEP and WRS had held discussions with key national regulators, as detailed in the report, all of which were willing to work collaboratively with the LEP and WRS to create solutions to help businesses establish and grow.

The Regulatory and Business Partnership Charter, as detailed at Appendix 1 to the report, was signed and launched by the various regulators including WRS at the Worcestershire LEP mid-term conference on 9th November 2012.

The Worcestershire Regulatory and Business Partnership Charter was the starting point to convert the Charter principles into a delivery plan.

**RESOLVED** that the signing of the Worcestershire Regulatory and Business Partnership Charter be endorsed and that the Committee support WRS to undertake the work required to convert the Charter principles into a delivery plan.

29/12 **ICT PROJECT UPDATE - VERBAL UPDATE FROM THE HEAD OF WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES**

The Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS) provided the Committee with a verbal update on the ICT Project. Members were informed that the project was advancing steadily with the project team undertaking training. The live system was currently up and running for project team members only. The Idox solution would link with the Worcestershire Hub and other partner authorities' systems. Idox would carry out the data transfer and provide support. A period of 'backfilling' where needed would cover WRS staff transferred onto the project team. The Project Board had met with the IT departments for each partner authority. A detailed project plan to be made available to a future meeting of the Joint Committee.

The Head of WRS responded to Members' questions with regard to the test system and the capacity of Bromsgrove District Council as Host Authority for the system. The Head of WRS informed the Committee that the core system that had been loaded was exactly the system required and that Bromsgrove District Council would be purchasing an additional server in order to meet the requirements of hosting the Idox system. Members were further informed that Idox was keen to work with WRS as a regional business partner to support delivery of future and similar initiatives.

30/12 **IMPACT ON SERVICE DELIVERY AND QUALITY OF 5%, 10% AND 15% REDUCTIONS IN BASE BUDGET**

The Committee considered a report which detailed the likely impact on service delivery and quality of 5%, 10% and 15% reductions in base budget following the next financial settlement from central government.

Mr. Mark Kay, Business Manager, Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS) introduced the report and in doing so informed the Committee that WRS,

under its business case, had been tasked to deliver savings of 17.25% and had to date delivered savings of 22.57%. It was agreed at the Management Board meeting on 30th August 2012 that licensing would not be included in any calculated savings as licensing must, by law, be self financing and partners were not able to make a profit without running the risk of formal challenge through the court system. In undertaking this work it had become apparent that rather than focus on cuts there was an opportunity to grow the business in such a way that any income could be used to offset the base budget.

A brief discussion followed on Appendix E to the report. Members agreed that officers should look at opportunities to grow the business rather than focus on cuts.

Councillor D. Thain informed the Committee that he had recently attended the WRS away day and had been impressed with the service offered and felt that it gave an indication of the quality of service provided, he agreed with officers being innovative with the focus on marketing services and not reducing services.

**RESOLVED** that the report be noted.

31/12 **INFORMATION REPORT ON SERVICE ACTIVITY RELATING TO SCRAP METAL DEALERS**

The Committee consider a report which provided information on service activity relating to Scrap Metal Dealers.

Mr. Simon Wilkes, Business Manager, Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS) introduced the report and in doing so informed the Committee that the Operation Titan report had been produced to outline legislative background and to provide Members with a clear understanding of the limits to which the service could act. The service had received a number of complaints in relation to the activities of itinerant scrap metal collectors operating in all parts of the county. Generally these complaints were raised as noise nuisance issues. Currently there was little the service could do to resolve residents problems, in relation to itinerant scrap metal dealers, who drove around in flat bed transit type vans and the noise created by either crying out or using bugles. The report outlined the proposed provisions of a private members bill aimed at improving local authority's ability to deal with these issues.

Members' attention was drawn to the Scrap Metal Dealers Bill 2012/2013 Private Members' Bill sponsored by Richard Ottaway MP which was due for its second reading in the House of Lords on 30th November 2012.

**RESOLVED:**

- (a) that the report be noted; and
- (b) that Members lobby local MPs to support the Scrap Metal Dealers Bill 2012/2013 Private Members' Bill sponsored by Richard Ottaway MP.

32/12 **WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES BUDGET MONITORING  
APRIL - SEPTEMBER 2012**

The Committee considered a report which detailed the financial position for the period April to September 2012.

The Executive Director, Finance & Corporate Resources, Bromsgrove District Council introduced the report and in doing so informed the Committee that the approved budget for 2012/2013 was £5.626 million, this was a reduction on the revised 2011/2012 budget of £6.008 million and reflected a 22.57% reduction from the original participating Councils 2010/2011 budgets.

The Executive Director, Finance & Corporate Resources, Bromsgrove District Council drew Members' attention to the savings figure of £282,000, as detailed at Appendix 2 to the report. The Section 151 officers for each participating partner authority had been made aware that the partner savings of £282,000 would not be refunded to participating Councils as in previous years, it would result in a reduction in the planned charges to be made to the participating Councils.

**RESOLVED** that the financial position for the period April to September 2012, be noted.

33/12 **WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES BUDGET 2013 / 2014**

The Committee considered a report which detailed the proposed revenue and capital budget for Worcestershire Regulatory Services for 2013/2014.

The Executive Director, Finance & Corporate Resources, Bromsgrove District Council introduced the report and in doing so informed the Committee that the current revenue budget for 2012/2013 was £5.626 million, this was a reduction on the revised 2011/2012 budget of £6.008 million and reflected a 22.57% reduction from the original participating Councils 2010/2011 budgets. This exceeded the initial level of 15% and was mainly due to a reduction in accommodation charges together with further restructures that had been implemented over the last 12 months.

The Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services informed the Committee that as detailed in the report, officers were exploring the potential for a one year pilot of modified service standards that would result in a one off adjustment of Worcester City Council's contribution by approximately 6% for 2013/2014.

**RESOLVED:**

- (a) that the revenue budget for 2013/2014 of £5.626 million, be approved;  
and
- (b) that the capital budget for 2013/2014 of £244,000, be approved.

34/12 **WORCESTERSHIRE SHARED SERVICES JOINT COMMITTEE -  
PROPOSED MEETING DATES 2013 / 2014**

The Committee considered the proposed meeting dates scheduled for 2013/2014.

The Chairman reminded Members of the agreed quorum for meetings and asked Members to consider this with regard to future agenda items and any declarations of interest being declared. If only one Member was in attendance for their participating authority and upon declaring an interest had to leave the meeting, the meeting would be inquorate.

**RESOLVED** that the Worcestershire Shared Services Joint Committee meeting dates and start time of 5.30pm for 2013/2014 be approved as follows:

- Thursday 21st February 2013
- Thursday 27th June 2013 – Annual Meeting
- Thursday 26th September 2013
- Thursday 21st November 2013 – Budget Meeting
- Thursday 20th February 2014

The meeting closed at 7.30 p.m.

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank





## JOINT COMMITTEE

21 February 2013

### Performance Data for Quarter 3 2012/13

#### **Recommendation**

That members note the report

That members advise officers as to the usefulness or otherwise of the information provided, to help shape future reports.

#### **Contribution to Priorities/ Recommendations**

N/A

#### **Introduction/Summary Background**

As members will be aware, the Service is in the process of implementing its new IT system and we have struggled to provide significant quantities of performance data due to our having to operate using at least 9 different database systems across the county.

However we have tried, where possible, to provide members with reassurance that performance remains at an acceptable level during this time. The attached report is one such example highlighting performance in a range of areas, with district specific information where possible.

#### **Report**

The attached performance report has been produced by officers currently working in our experimental Intelligence Unit. In order to become more intelligence-led, it is important that the service maintains vigilance on incoming data so that any developing trends or threats can be identified and be dealt with. Having this dedicated team will also, in the longer term, allow us to produce more tailored information for members, outlining issues within their districts.

It is hoped that the report will reassure members as to level of performance, but officers would also like members to feedback as to where this kind of data, including the mapped sections outlining nuisance activity, are helpful to them in their role of supporting the communities we all serve. Creating a report like this from such a wide range of systems is time consuming but, hopefully, once we are on a

single database, this will be much more straight-forward.

The performance report itself starts with some county wide information on a range of activities being undertaken by the service. Where relevant we give comparisons across the 6 districts so members can see the variations in activity, for example with requests for support from the various Planning teams. Each district then has its own page of specific data, including a map of locations for nuisance reports, which we though members might like to share with their colleagues in the districts so that local members can also be made aware of our activities.

Performance has generally remained good. In terms of proactive work, officers are focusing their time on the higher risk businesses, following the provisions of the Regulator's Compliance Code and the Government's de-regulatory agenda. The figure for broad compliance of food businesses remains above 90% for all districts. Average times to close a complaint are included in the report for a number of different complaint types and satisfactions levels remain good, although we will continue to consult customers on how we can improve.

**Financial Implications**

None

**Sustainability**

N/A

**Contact Points**

Steve Jordan, Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services  
Tel: 01527-881466,  
email: [s.jorden@worcsregservices.gov.uk](mailto:s.jorden@worcsregservices.gov.uk)  
Q3 Performance Report

**Background Papers**

## Strategic Report | Third Quarter

**Document Details:**

Status: Live

Date: 31/01/2013

**GPMS – NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED**

Page 9

Worcestershire  
**Regulatory Services**  
*Supporting and protecting you*

## Contents

<b>Worcestershire</b>	3
<u>Nuisance</u>	3
<u>Consumer Complaints</u>	3
<u>Food</u>	4
<u>Health &amp; Safety</u>	5
<u>Planning</u>	5
<u>Performance Data</u>	6
<b>Bromsgrove</b>	7
<b>Malvern Hills</b>	8
<b>Redditch</b>	9
<b>Worcester City</b>	10
<b>Wychavon</b>	11
<b>Wyre Forest</b>	12
<b>Comments</b>	13

The data referred to in this report covers the FLARE CMU and SRU databases from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2012 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2012. All efforts have been made to ensure accuracy of the data however there may be some anomalies. Any errors would not be sufficient as to impact on the accuracy of the picture painted in this report. Perpetrator address details have been used where numbers appear on a 'district profile'. Where the perpetrator is out of county, unknown or no address details have been recorded, these are included in the 'Worcestershire Summary'.




## Nuisance

During the third quarter, WRS received 750 complaints or enquiries relating to nuisance. 96% of cases were received by the Duty Officers. The total number of enquiries equates to a 29% decrease when compared to the previous quarter. 51 cases (7%) were recorded as 'out of hours' and all cases related to noise nuisance.

The table below outlines the number of complaints per district where details of an alleged offender have been recorded. The chart below outlines nuisance complaints or enquiries by activity type.

	Total	↑ / ↓
Bromsgrove	77	
Malvern Hills	82	
Redditch	138	
Worcester City	147	
Wychavon	116	
Wyre Forest	135	
Out of County / Unknown	55	
	<b>750</b>	



## Consumer Complaints

	Total	CDR	CDN
October	1084	232	662
November	1085	227	674
December	771	157	488

During the third quarter, WRS received 2940 consumer complaints or enquiries. 83% of cases were received via the Citizens Advice Consumer Service (CACS) and 10% by the Duty Officers. The total number of enquiries equates to a 1% increase when compared to the previous quarter.


Complaints or enquires received via CACS fall under two categories; notifications (CDN) and 'referrals (CDR). Notifications are generally passed through for information as the consumer is out of county or no further advice is required. Referrals require further assistance or investigation.

	OFT Category	Total	↑ / ↓
EE	Second Hand Motor Vehicles	331	
AB	Home Maintenance	298	
AD	Furniture	212	
DE	Ancillary Credit Business	130	
BM	Telecommunications	103	
DY	Other Professional Services	96	
AM	Personal Computers	89	
BA	Food & Drink	87	
AN	Large White Goods	83	

The first table outlines the number of consumer complaints received per month and the total number of notifications and referrals. The second table outlines the top 10 complaint categories received.

# Food

	Total	↑/↓
Bromsgrove	24	
Malvern Hills	27	
Redditch	10	
Worcester City	35	
Wychavon	34	
Wyre Forest	26	
Out of County / Unknown	56	
	<b>212</b>	



The table above outlines the number of complaints per district where details of an alleged offender have been recorded. The chart above outlines food complaints or enquiries by activity type.


The table below shows the number of notifications received by the HPU (third quarter) by disease and Local Authority compared to the same period in the previous year.

Key	Disease	Bromsgrove		Malvern		Redditch		Worcester		Wychavon		Wyre Forest	
		2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
		Higher number than previous year	Same number as previous year	Lower number than previous year									
Vaccine preventable disease	Measles	4	3	0	7	0	4	0	6	2	11	2	4
	Mumps	2	4	3	2	5	2	7	5	6	6	3	5
	Rubella	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	0
	Whooping cough	1	20	2	7	0	5	1	8	0	14	0	15
Meningococcal disease	Viral meningitis	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Meningococcal septicaemia	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Meningococcal meningitis	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
	Pneumococcal meningitis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0
Blood borne viruses	Acute Hepatitis B	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Hepatitis C	8	7	5	0	7	3	13	11	3	3	3	0
Gastrointestinal disease	Campylobacter	23	32	28	33	22	13	30	53	40	49	30	27
	Salmonella	4	0	4	1	6	5	3	7	2	5	2	4
	Cryptosporidiosis	4	6	1	3	1	8	5	4	1	5	2	5
	E Coli 0157	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Giardiasis	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	3	0	3
	Shigellosis	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
	Typhoid fever	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Paratyphoid fever	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hepatitis A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Hepatitis E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other disease	Tuberculosis	1	0	1	2	2	4	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Malaria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Scarlet fever	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	4	3	1	3	1
	Group A streptococcal disease	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
	Legionellosis	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>66</b>

Source: HPA West Midlands West; disease notifications and laboratory reports (HP Zone).

## Health & Safety


	Total	↑ / ↓
Bromsgrove	15	
Malvern Hills	19	
Redditch	15	
Worcester City	14	
Wychavon	23	
Wyre Forest	8	
Out of County / Unknown	27	
	<b>121</b>	



Accident Notifications	56	
------------------------	----	--

The table above outlines the number of complaints per district where details of an alleged offender have been recorded. The chart above outlines health and safety complaints or enquiries by activity type.

## Planning



## Performance Data

Received By	Nuisance	Consumer Complaints	Food	Health & Safety
Duty Officer	722	289	177	105
CACS		2940		

Dealt With By	Nuisance	Consumer Complaints	Food	Health & Safety
Geo East	374	373	78	68
Geo West	275	332	114	45
Centralised Delivery	20	171	7	
Central Operations	20	39		1
Duty Officer	42	14	11	6
Other	15	200	2	1
CACS		1811		

	Nuisance	Consumer Complaints	Food	Health & Safety
Average	14 Days	8 Days	6 Days	9 Days

Received From	Nuisance	Consumer Complaints	Food	Health & Safety
Public	600	617	87	54
Business	9	162	55	28
Other Agency	35	195	16	11
Councillor	8	1	1	
Unrecorded	98	154	53	28

Demand Type	Nuisance	Consumer Complaints	Food	Health & Safety
I need advice	37	218	46	29
I want to make a complaint about	252	383	21	9
Request for information	11	133	20	11
I want you to do something	331	94	47	28
I want to arrange a visit		4	15	4
I have information for you	19	133	2	12
I am responding to	1		2	
Unrecorded	99	164	53	28

Following analysis of the customer feedback questionnaires, 95.6% of 473 customers and 95.1% of 82 businesses were satisfied.






	Nuisance	Total	↑ / ↓
A**	Air Pollution	5	
D**	Drainage	3	
M**	General Nuisance / Pollution	20	
N**	Noise	49	
		77	

	Consumer Complaints	Total	↑ / ↓
	October	75	
	November	80	
	December	53	


For a wide range of statistics for this district, visit the following link: [www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx](http://www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx)

	Top 5 consumer complaint categories	Total	↑ / ↓
EE	Second Hand Motor Vehicles	31	
AB	Home Maintenance	25	
AD	Furniture	18	
DE	Ancillary Credit Business	12	
EF	Motor Vehicle Repairs	5	

Inspections (third quarter)	Total	↑ / ↓
Food Hygiene and H&S Inspections	57	
Food Standards, Animal Health & LACORS Inspections	20	
Broadly Compliant Businesses	93%	



The map above outlines nuisance types by perpetrator address details (where stated).



## Malvern Hills


	Nuisance	Total	↑ / ↓
A**	Air Pollution	3	
D**	Drainage	5	
M**	General Nuisance / Pollution	24	
N**	Noise	50	
		82	

	Consumer Complaints	Total	↑ / ↓
	October	33	
	November	50	
	December	36	

For a wide range of statistics for this district, visit the following link: [www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx](http://www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx)

	Top 5 consumer complaint categories	Total	↑ / ↓
AB	Home Maintenance	18	
BA	Food & Drink	14	
FO	Pets	7	
AN	Large White Goods	6	
DE	Ancillary Credit Business	6	

Inspections (third quarter)	Total	↑ / ↓
Food Hygiene and H&S Inspections	49	
Food Standards, Animal Health & LACORS Inspections	53	
<b>Broadly Compliant Businesses</b>	<b>93%</b>	



The map above outlines nuisance types by perpetrator address details (where stated).




	Nuisance	Total	↑ / ↓
A**	Air Pollution	9	
D**	Drainage		
M**	General Nuisance / Pollution	45	
N**	Noise	84	
		138	

	Consumer Complaints	Total	↑ / ↓
	October	98	
	November	90	
	December	50	

For a wide range of statistics for this district, visit the following link: [www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx](http://www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx)

	Top 5 consumer complaint categories	Total	↑ / ↓
AB	Home Maintenance	40	
EE	Second Hand Motor Vehicles	24	
DE	Ancillary Credit Business	18	
EF	Motor Vehicle Repairs	13	
AD	Furniture	12	

Inspections (third quarter)	Total	↑ / ↓
Food Hygiene and H&S Inspections	N/A	
Food Standards, Animal Health & LACORS Inspections	N/A	
Broadly Compliant Businesses	N/A	



The map above outlines nuisance types by perpetrator address details (where stated).



## Worcester City


	Nuisance	Total	↑ / ↓
A**	Air Pollution	11	
D**	Drainage	4	
M**	General Nuisance / Pollution	28	
N**	Noise	104	
		147	

	Consumer Complaints	Total	↑ / ↓
	October	103	
	November	105	
	December	75	

For a wide range of statistics for this district, visit the following link: [www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx](http://www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx)

Inspections (third quarter)	Total	↑ / ↓
Food Hygiene and H&S Inspections	54	
Food Standards, Animal Health & LACORS Inspections	41	
Broadly Compliant Businesses	93%	

	Top 5 consumer complaint categories	Total	↑ / ↓
AB	Home Maintenance	28	
AD	Furniture	20	
EE	Second Hand Motor Vehicles	20	
CA	Clothing	19	
AN	Large White Goods	12	



The map above outlines nuisance types by perpetrator address details (where stated).




	Nuisance	Total	↑ / ↓
A**	Air Pollution	22	
D**	Drainage	7	
M**	General Nuisance / Pollution	30	
N**	Noise	57	
		116	

	Consumer Complaints	Total	↑ / ↓
	October	120	
	November	89	
	December	82	


For a wide range of statistics for this district, visit the following link: [www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx](http://www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx)

	Top 5 consumer complaint categories	Total	↑ / ↓
AD	Furniture	56	
EE	Second Hand Motor Vehicles	36	
BM	Telecommunications	22	
AB	Home Maintenance	17	
BA	Food & Drink	17	

Inspections (third quarter)	Total	↑ / ↓
Food Hygiene and H&S Inspections	78	
Food Standards, Animal Health & LACORS Inspections	37	
Broadly Compliant Businesses	93%	



The map above outlines nuisance types by perpetrator address details (where stated).




	Nuisance	Total	↑ / ↓
A**	Air Pollution	13	
D**	Drainage	1	
M**	General Nuisance / Pollution	24	
N**	Noise	97	
		135	

	Consumer Complaints	Total	↑ / ↓
	October	70	
	November	80	
	December	65	

	Top 5 consumer complaint categories	Total	↑ / ↓
EE	Second Hand Motor Vehicles	28	
AB	Home Maintenance	23	
AN	Large White Goods	21	
AD	Furniture	20	
EF	Motor Vehicle Repairs	13	

For a wide range of statistics for this district, visit the following link: [www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx](http://www.worcestershire.gov.uk/cms/research-and-intelligence/local-area-profiles.aspx)

Inspections (third quarter)	Total	↑ / ↓
Food Hygiene and H&S Inspections	61	
Food Standards, Animal Health & LACORS Inspections	17	
Broadly Compliant Businesses	93%	



The map above outlines nuisance types by perpetrator address details (where stated).



## JOINT COMMITTEE

21 February 2013

### Worcestershire Regulatory Services Service Plan 2013/14

#### **Recommendation**

That Members approve the Worcestershire Regulatory Services 2013/14 Service Plan.

#### **Contribution to Priorities**

The Service Plan outlines the way in which the Service's activities link to National and Local Priorities relevant to regulatory services. Local priorities are taken from the relevant Sustainable Community Strategies.

#### **Introduction/Summary**

The purpose of this report is to introduce the Service Plan for 2013/14 to Members. The Plan provides Members with a picture of the rapidly changing operating environment within which the Service is expected to deliver.

The Plan also identifies key outcomes and measures with which to measure the performance of the Service. Many of these measures resulted from consultation with Members and customers based on 'what matters' to the customer. Numbers have been streamlined by combining some measures where there was overlap, so that only the 12 key indicators are retained. Essentially the same level of service will be delivered and it will be measured in the same way.

#### **Background**

Under the Service Level Agreement, Worcestershire Regulatory Services would normally have to produce a 3 year Service Plan, for adoption by all partners. As the operating environment is undergoing rapid change and the financial situation beyond next year is so uncertain, a 1 year plan has been produced with the agreement of the Management Board.

The attached Service Plan does however provide Members with a clear picture of the challenges ahead, national and local priorities driving the Service and the high level activities designed to meet partners stated Service requirements.

Also included in the Plan are the Service's financial arrangements, the Service's current structure, operating environment and risk register.

A suite of outcomes and measures are detailed in the body of the plan. When created, these measures were a departure from the more usual Local Government performance indicators but this new approach was an essential part of the Service's transformation work, more accurately reflected what is important to the customer and have been developed through both the Management Board and Joint Committee. Hopefully, members are now comfortable with this approach to performance reporting.

## **Financial Implications**

Financial arrangements are included in the Plan together with the budget, reported separately to this meeting. Failure to deliver within the budget would have implications for partners and the Service going forward.

## **Contact Points**

Steve Jorden, Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services  
Telephone: 01527-881466  
Email: [s.jorden@worcsregservices.gov.uk](mailto:s.jorden@worcsregservices.gov.uk)

## **Background Papers**

Service Plan  
Risk Register





# Service Plan 2013/14

## **Worcestershire Regulatory Services Vision**

**"That Worcestershire is a healthy, safe and fair place to live, where businesses can thrive"**

## CONTENTS

	Page Number
Executive Summary	3
Introduction	4
Operating Environment	5
Strategic Priorities	5
Local Priorities	6
Purposes	7
Finance	7
Income Generation	8
Audit Arrangements	9
Activities and Outcome Measures	9
Performance Reporting	13
Structure	13
Training and Development of Multi Functional Teams	14
Business Continuity	14
Local Enterprise Partnerships	15
Other Partnerships	15
Consultation/Engagement	15
Risks	16
Appendix A Structure Chart	17
Appendix B Regulatory Services Budget Table 2013/2014	18
Appendix C Performance Measures Relating to Outcomes	19
Appendix D Operating Environment	20
Appendix E Risk Register	26

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the third annual service plan produced by Worcestershire Regulatory Services and it broadly follows the format of the previous plans.

The plan outlines how the service will operate over the following 12 months to deliver on both national and local priorities, some of which are highlighted in the plan, and what activities the service will carry out to achieve or address those priorities and how success will be measured (performance measures relating to outcomes appendix C).

The service will enter 2013/14 with a total agreed budget of £5,626,000 the same as the previous financial year but a reduction in real terms as the service will have to fund all pay increases together with increases due to inflationary pressure on the non salary budget.

A medium term financial strategy has been developed which involves the service continuing to make small additional savings where possible whilst expanding the potential commercial activities of the service to bring in additional income. The service will also look to attract new partners to the existing arrangement and to consider carrying out work for other councils.

To date the service has achieved total savings of **24% against the business case target of 17.5%** and will continue to seek further savings.

The service is realistic about the current financial landscape and is pragmatic regarding the level of further potential savings that partners may require but proposes a more business orientated commercial approach to increase income to assist partners avoid having to make budget reductions at a level which might affect current levels of service delivery.

In making decisions regarding service delivery the service will risk assess taking into account the following criteria:

- a) Are vulnerable people impacted?
- b) Are health and Wellbeing issues involved?
- c) Is there a positive/ negative impact on the local economy?

There are two changes from last year's service plan which are of significance:

- 1) A section has been included on income generation which explains how the service is proposing to develop a range of commercial products to generate income for the service thus offsetting/reducing costs for partners. A detailed business plan is under development which will be brought before members at a later date.
- 2) The activities and outcome measures section has been rationalised from last year by combining and rewriting several of the outcomes and actions to arrive at a smaller number overall. The service will still be broadly carrying out the same activities and measuring the outcomes in the same way as last year.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This is the third formal annual service plan to be produced by Worcestershire Regulatory Services and only the second since the co-location of all staff at Wyatt House in Worcester. It follows a similar format to the previous plans with the addition of an executive summary, with much of the detail in appendices that follow on from the commentary. It covers a single year, 2013/14, with the exception of the potential options for commercial activities which we are currently considering, and a business plan will be developed covering a 3 year period for this activity. Given the current financial uncertainties after this year and since 2014/15 represents the beginning of a new 3 year budget cycle this was agreed as appropriate by Management Board.

2012/13 was a very busy but successful year for WRS dominated by the tendering and appointment of IDOX as the successful bidder to supply WRS with a single IT platform. The service has continued to evolve and transformation activity has been virtually completed, resulting in further restructuring and staff reduction. The current structure appears at Appendix A.

The budget for 2013/14 has been agreed at £5, 626,000, the same as 2012/13 but representing a fall in real terms as the service has to provide for pay increases and inflation from within this amount. At current rates, we estimate inflation to be around 3%. Also, within this settlement, the service will be looking at a pilot with Worcester City officers that will examine the possibility of providing that authority alone with a financial saving during the year. However, the service is aware of the news of the amended government settlement for next year, the proposed settlement for 2014/15 and the adverse impacts that will result from these on a number of partners. The service faces the same challenges that face all of local government over the next few years but is in a strong position to meet those challenges through an agenda of growth.

To meet the challenges of increasing pressure on budgets coupled with an increase in demand in many areas of work, the service has developed a medium term financial strategy to meet the challenges which includes:

- a) Continuing to make small additional savings in the existing budget where possible
- b) Expanding the service's commercial activities to bring additional income to the partnership to offset potential budget reductions
- c) Look for new partners to join the current arrangement
- d) Expand the number of primary authority agreements operated by the service to increase income
- e) Consider taking on a private sector partner to deliver 'outsourced' work for other Councils.

Senior Managers from the service are currently working with the Management Board looking at how to facilitate the commercial activities mentioned above. The service is developing an additional three year business plan which will highlight how the service is going to take forward the above aims, the business model required, and how this extra income is likely to impact on the current service.

## **2. OPERATING ENVIRONMENT**

At the national level the external environment in which the Service operates continues to face unprecedented challenges. The Government continues to seek a less interventionist society where voluntarism is a strong feature and de-regulation is a key part of its agenda.

This does however need to be balanced with the need to protect and support the most vulnerable in our society, ensure that the general health and wellbeing of the community is protected and also ensure, wherever we can, that the economic vitality and growth is maintained. To meet these aims we must ensure our services are targeted, proportional and effective.

There are a number of legislative changes, plus government and European policy initiatives, which will potentially increase demand and affect the operation of WRS over the next few years. The key issues are summarised in appendix D. A Strategic Assessment has also been undertaken which can be made available to members on request.

The wider impact of poor economic growth may also be seen through the increased cutting of corners by businesses as they seek to survive in this current tough environment, for example keeping food for longer than is safe or extending use by dates, and a growth in the informal economy as people seek to make their money go further by buying cheaper products, including counterfeit and contraband items. Thus, we may see a double impact of increasing demand and need for our services at a time when the resources for delivery are falling drastically as recently highlighted by the horse meat scandal. This will be occurring at a time when our budgets and those of partners will come under increasing pressure and significant reductions in budget may become necessary. This will certainly lead to changes in the focus of resources and significant changes in the scope of service delivery.

These changes to demand, budgets and government initiatives will be taking place at a time of continued austerity and increased scrutiny of public service activities by both our regulators and the public. The lack of available funding is likely to be a key to shaping the service going forward. The service is likely to face challenging funding reductions and will seek to minimise the impact of this where it can by increased efficiencies where possible, and by looking to generate income to assist partners offset some of the affect of budget reductions. Income generation and attracting new partners is considered as part of the Finance section of the plan.

## **3. STRATEGIC PRIORITIES**

The Government tasked the BDRO (Better Regulation Delivery Office) with developing Priority Regulatory Outcomes for England for local authorities to consider when undertaking their service planning processes. Published in May 2011, the list is as follows:

1. Support economic growth, especially in small businesses, by ensuring a fair, responsible and competitive trading environment
2. Protect the environment for future generations including tackling the threats and impacts of climate change
3. Improve quality of life and wellbeing by ensuring clean and safe neighbourhoods
4. Help people to live healthier lives by preventing ill health and harm and promoting public health
5. Ensure a safe, healthy and sustainable food chain for the benefits of consumers and the rural economy

These are much less prescriptive than the previous priorities (Roger's Priorities,) and reflect the more localist approach favoured by the current government. The aim of these priorities is to help local authority regulators demonstrate their links to the main corporate priorities of their parent councils and, by being less prescriptive, to give those authorities a better opportunity to shape what is delivered to local need. They are not dissimilar from the priorities previously created by the service for this purpose and still dove-tail well with the priorities in the various Sustainable Community Strategies produced by the seven partners across Worcestershire.

#### 4. LOCAL PRIORITIES

The Worcestershire Sustainable Community Strategy has three over-arching priorities:

- § A skilled and prosperous economy,
- § An environment that is cherished and resilient
- § Improving health and well-being.

**Worcester City's** has three priority areas, two of which are the economy and the environment, obviously aiming to improve performance in relation to both. The third, communities, has a number of aspects relating to wider health and well being issues.

In **Malvern Hills** the focus is on reducing inequalities, including health inequalities, reducing the impact of environmental issues including flooding and the wider impact of rurality on its communities.

**Wyre Forest** is close to the county with a prosperous economy, improved environment and improved health being the key aspects, with educational attainment as a fourth, which probably links nicely into better jobs and the economy.

**Redditch** is similar to Wyre Forest with reduced health inequalities, increased economic prosperity, better educational attainment and a focus on areas of deprivation.

**Bromsgrove** is similar to Worcester City, focusing on economic growth and improved environment with their third priority, balanced communities, including a range of things that relate to the broad health and well being agenda.

**Wychavon's** strategy includes improving the economy, developing skills and lifelong learning. Health and well-being is included along with support for older people and encouraging communities, especially young people to become more involved in their communities.

So, whilst the wording is slightly different, there are enough commonalities and similarities across the seven partners, such that the BRDO strategic priorities will enable us to engage with issues that matter across the districts and at county level. Malvern Hills priorities are slightly different from the other partners but the health inequalities link will allow us to align our activities with this aspect. Also, we know from the Department of Health's Health framework documents that employment and income can be a key determinant of health so the economic aspects of our work can be linked via this.

The service will also have the flexibility to engage with members and other stakeholders to identify any truly local priorities which may not easily fit into any of the above and this may for example cover issues around licensing and levels of enforcement of certain provisions

In taking into account the affect of the potentially challenging budget reductions and how the service can deliver against national and local priorities, there is no question that changes in service delivery will be an inevitable consequence of further significant funding reductions. The service will need to prioritise what is delivered, to whom and how.

To assist in this decision making, a risk matrix is under development which identifies 3 key criteria to consider when making decision on service provision:

- a) Are vulnerable people impacted
- b) Are there Health and Well Being issues involved
- c) Is there a positive/negative impact on economic activity

Care must also be taken to ensure that, whenever possible, demand which can no longer be met is simply not re-directed to partners in other ways e.g. complaints, and that partners do not then face challenges relating to whether they are meeting their statutory obligations.

The service is also working with the BRDO on a pilot to carry out an Economic Impact Assessment for Regulatory services to enable us to put a financial value or benefit to the work we do within the local community and especially how this benefits local business. This will be an important tool to inform members and other stakeholders considering potential changes in service delivery.

## **5. PURPOSES**

Following our detailed transformation work our service is more customer-focused and, after a lot of consultation with both businesses and the public, WRS has now established 3 purposes which will be used to underpin the way in which the Service is delivered in the future. There are two sub-purposes, in brackets below, which add further clarity to our role.

1. Help me resolve my problem (and stop it from happening to anyone else)
2. I want to assume everything is ok
3. Help me trade well (and ensure my competitors do the same).

These purposes are expressed in terms a customer might use and provide a focus for staff. They will form the basis for reporting performance of the Service focussed on outcomes and have been agreed by the Joint Committee. These purposes, combined with the priorities outlined by BRDO, encapsulate the Service's contribution to the wider community agenda, reflecting as they do the broad themes relevant to all partners.

## **6. FINANCE**

A summary of the budget position for 2013/14 is shown at Appendix B. It assumes a full contribution from Worcester City currently, but this may change dependant on the outcome of the Worcester City requested pilot to find further City specific savings from the WRS approved budget. This table only shows the main sub-categories of expenditure within the Service. A more detailed breakdown will be available to the Joint Committee in its regular financial reports.



## 7. INCOME GENERATION

A number of initiatives will be considered looking at how the service can generate income to off-set some of the budget cuts that the service is likely to face in the future. This off-setting is unlikely to prevent changes in service delivery if the budget reductions are at the levels anticipated (20% plus,) but it will allow the service to maintain a critical mass of staff to support wider service delivery.

Having said this, care must be taken to fully investigate the impact of any potential changes in service delivery to ensure that they do not result in costs elsewhere within partner organisations, as in many areas of our work it is impossible to reduce the demand for services. For example, a failure to investigate a nuisance that subsequently is successfully taken to Court by a resident could result in costs against the Authority concerned either as an Ombudsman penalty or through civil litigation. There will also be a need to identify a resource within each partner to deal with the likely increased dissatisfaction with the scaling back or non delivery of certain aspects of the service.

Income generation could result from a number of activities:

- i. Expanding the partnership to include new partners
- ii. Developing products and services that can be sold to businesses. Currently advice is provided free of charge, in many cases going beyond what the minimum statutory requirement would be for service delivery. Businesses value this element of service and there may be the opportunity to expand this
- iii. Offering to provide services to other local authorities. WRS has strength in a number of areas and would be well placed to bid for outsourced regulatory services from other authorities. This may involve working with a strategic partner.

A market analysis has been commissioned, which will report on the nature and size of the potential markets and what if any barriers to entry exist. This market analysis will shape our strategy moving forward and has influenced the development of the business objectives. Senior Managers are working with the Management Board on a possible plan for delivery, which may involve some sort of trading entity linked to the service.

A 3 year business plan is under development which will incorporate the findings of the above survey and will enable us to identify what markets exist and provide information on how we can plan to enter these markets. It must also be noted that WRS already produces and effectively sells some products mainly in the field of training e.g. food hygiene training for persons working within the food industry (currently provided on a cost recovery basis)

It should be noted however that WRS will be entering a competitive arena with a number of potential rivals, some already established in the market. Other new entrants such as WRS will recognise the opportunity including other local authorities. With the continued and increasing financial pressures that many Local Authorities will find themselves having to deal with, we predict that alternative delivery models will be considered by those Local Authorities. WRS is uniquely placed to take advantage of these new markets. New skill sets will be required to be successful in such an environment and we will be looking to develop some of these skills internally. However, purchasing these skills or working in partnership with a private sector organisation may prove to be the most effective way of moving forward and provide a useful source of finance for developing the concept.

## **8. AUDIT ARRANGEMENTS**

The Internal Audit Service will be provided under the current arrangement by Worcester City Council to the Host Authority. The participating authorities S151 Officers will consider the Audit Plan of the Host to ensure adequate arrangements are in place. An assurance statement and copies of relevant Audit reports will be made available to the S151 officers when audits are undertaken. External Auditors appointed by our hosts will provide an Audit opinion of the Joint Arrangement as a separate entity to the Host's financial reports.

The Financial Statement of Accounts will be presented to the Joint Committee in June for approval within the statutory deadline. Member Authorities will liaise about requests from their Overview and Scrutiny Committees and Audit Committees. They will use reasonable endeavours to agree joint scrutiny arrangements with a view to avoiding duplication of effort

## **9. ACTIVITIES & OUTCOME MEASURES**

The activities outlined below are examples of what is planned by the service, the success of which is measured by the suite of outcome measures listed in Appendix C. We believe that this will give Members the confidence that the Service is performing well, within the current financial constraints, and contributing to the wider local agenda. The approach is very much in line with Government thinking in terms of reducing burdens on and supporting local businesses whilst tackling rogues who would ignore their responsibilities and criminals who use business as a model for generating criminal assets. It also addresses the significant demand that comes into the service as complaints, covering a wide range of issues and concerns from residents, visitors and businesses within Worcestershire. The wording of a number of the outcomes below has been amended slightly to clarify what the service is seeking to achieve.

	OUTCOME	WHAT WE WILL DO	PURPOSE	NATIONAL PRIORITY	MEASURES
1	<p>Businesses are supported to become successful and compliant (IPPC, H&amp;S, Food, Fair Trading, Licensing)</p>	<p>Provide businesses with advice and assistance using a range of channels.</p> <p>Conduct risk based/ intelligence-led interventions with businesses; targeting resources towards potentially non-compliant businesses</p> <p>Undertake intelligence led projects including sampling of various consumer products including food.</p> <p>Develop Commercial Products that businesses would be willing to pay for that would enhance business performance</p>	<p>I want to assume everything is ok.</p> <p>Help me trade well</p>	<p><b>1, 2, 3 and 5</b></p>	<p>% businesses meeting purpose at first assessment/ inspection</p> <p>% of service requests where resolution is achieved to business satisfaction</p> <p>% of food businesses scoring 0,1,2* at 1<sup>st</sup> April each year</p>
2	<p>Doorstep crime is tackled and older people are supported and feel safer in their homes</p>	<p>Respond to complaints and take appropriate action</p> <p>Promote alternative to doorstep interaction e.g. Trader Register</p> <p>Share intelligence with Police and other partners</p> <p>Participate in multi-agency events e.g. Rogue Trader Day</p>	<p>Help me to solve my problem</p> <p>I want to assume everything is ok.</p> <p>Help me to trade well</p>	<p><b>1 and 3</b></p>	<p>% of service requests where resolution is achieved to customers satisfaction</p>

3	Reduced number of complaints about businesses in Worcestershire, improving the local economy	Respond to complaints and take appropriate action Provide businesses with advice and assistance	Help me to solve my problem	<b>1</b>	% of service requests where resolution is achieved to customers satisfaction  % of service requests where resolution is achieved to business satisfaction  Value (£) of contracts that are subject to intervention by officers on behalf of customers.  % of service requests where resolution is achieved to customers satisfaction
4	Reduction in nuisance and other pollution related issues	Respond to complaints and take appropriate action Provide relevant advice and information, available through a range of channels.	Help me to solve my problem Help me trade well	<b>2 and 3</b>	% of service requests where resolution is achieved to customers satisfaction
5	Air quality standards are met/air is safe to breath	Undertake monitoring and develop action plans if required	I want to assume everything is ok	<b>2 and 4</b>	Action plans are in place where necessary
6	Reduced environmental emissions leading to reduced environmental damage	Conduct risk based/ intelligence-led interventions with businesses, especially permitted premises	I want to assume everything is ok	<b>2</b>	% businesses meeting purpose at first assessment/ inspection
7	Licensed premises cause no significant alcohol-fuelled crime/ disorder and ASB.	Respond to complaints regarding alcohol and similar licensing related issues e.g. underage sales, breach of conditions, poor conduct of licence holders, etc. Provide businesses with advice and assistance	I want to assume everything is ok Help me to solve my problem Help me trade well	<b>1 and 3</b>	% of licensed businesses subject to allegations of not upholding the 4 licensing objectives
8	Taxi's drivers are suitable people to be licensed for the role and vehicles are safe whilst in use for Hackney Carriage / Private Hire activity	Conduct risk based/ intelligence-led interventions with taxi firms Respond to complaints and take appropriate action Provide businesses with advice and assistance	I want to assume everything is ok Help me to solve my problem Help me trade well	<b>3</b>	% of applicants for driver licenses rejected as not fit and proper  % of vehicles found to be defective whilst in service

9	Better educated, more aware consumers, who are more confident and make better informed choices	Respond to complaints within service scope as appropriate Provide relevant advice and information, available through a range of channels.	Help me to solve my problem	<b>1</b>	% of service requests where customer indicates they feel better equipped to deal with issues themselves in future
10	Consumers able to make informed choices on where to eat or purchase food through published food hygiene ratings.	Implement and promote a county wide food hygiene rating scheme Publish hygiene ratings and accrediting those which improve health and wellbeing of their workforce.	I want to assume everything is ok Help me trade well	<b>4 and 5</b>	% businesses meeting purpose at first assessment/ inspection
11	High levels of customer satisfaction	Respond to complaints and take appropriate action Enabling customer access to services, where possible, by their chosen means Getting it right first time so, where possible, we deal with customers through a single contact Maintain a register of compliments and complaints with actions taken	Help me to solve my problem Help me trade well	<b>1, 2, 3 and 5</b>	% of service requests where resolution is achieved to customers satisfaction % of service requests where resolution is achieved to business satisfaction
13	Having engaged and satisfied staff who have the right skills, tools and support	Develop a training plan Undertake annual staff survey. Undertake annual staff performance reviews with regular feedback sessions from supervisors and managers	All officers must be able to undertake work relating to the three purposes	<b>1, 2, 3, 4 and 5</b>	Staff sickness and absence at public sector national average or better % of staff who enjoy working for WRS

14	<p>Maintained preparedness for response to emergencies, including disease outbreaks.</p> <p>Maintenance of profitability in farming industry and consumer confidence in its products</p>	<p>Take part in partner exercises to test plans, as appropriate</p> <p>Conduct risk based/ intelligence-led interventions with businesses</p> <p>Respond to disease notifications and outbreaks</p> <p>Respond to complaints regarding farmed animal welfare</p>	<p>I want to assume everything is ok</p> <p>Help me to solve my problem</p> <p>Help me trade well</p>	<p><b>1 and 5</b></p>	<p>Disease response plans are maintained, reviewed and updated on a regular basis</p> <p>Business Continuity plans are maintained, reviewed and updated on a regular basis</p> <p>% businesses meeting purpose at first assessment/ inspection</p>
----	--	--	---	-----------------------	--

### 10. PERFORMANCE REPORTING

Performance against outcomes will be reported to Management Board and Joint Committee quarterly, six-monthly or annually, depending on the measure. It should however be noted that the new single IT platform will enable for the first time the collection and analysis of measures data which will be both accurate and robust. It is hoped that the system will be available from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013 for use however all elements are unlikely to be functional before 1<sup>st</sup> July 2013. Members will continue to be engaged so that we can update the type and extent of performance and activity type information required by them to feel that the service is delivering what is required.

### 11. STRUCTURE

During 2012 the structure of senior management was finalised, with the permanent appointment of Mark Kay to the second Business Manager post in August. The year also saw the departure of two Team Managers at the level below. Given the budget situation, neither of these officers was replaced, which led to the requirement to carry out a mini re-structuring, resulting in the new structure shown at appendix A. The service is well aware of some concerns over management capacity but given the current financial pressures, now is not the time to replace like for like. However if the commercialisation of WRS progresses it is likely that a Commercial Manager post will be needed to support this new area of work, full budget consideration will be given.

In deciding how the service may be best delivered to meet the purposes of customers, the new structure comprises of a mixture of geographical and central teams as follows:

- 1) Two Geographical Teams operating East and West dealing with a broad range of environmental health and trading standards work
- 2) The Central Operations team dealing with Animal Health, Air Quality and Contaminated Land, Dog Wardens and overseeing pest control
- 3) The Licensing Team dealing with all administrative aspects of licensing, (and taxi related enforcement, supported by the other teams.)
- 4) Strategic Services Team covering Planning, Env. Permitting, Health and Well-Being, Manufacturer/ Importer/ multi-site business support.

The East/West split still offers a better balance of workloads for our teams compared with the commonly used North: South alignment.

## 12. TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT OF MULTI-FUNCTIONAL TEAMS

Initial thinking when developing the service was that multi-functional officers could provide a wider range of options for intervention creating a more cost effective service. What we are beginning to see from our activities is that where an activity requires good communication skills but can be undertaken with limited technical knowledge, a wide range of officers can undertake this work. Domestic nuisance complaints are probably the best example of this type of activity and they represent quite a large volume of reactive work. However, as soon as technical knowledge becomes a significant input into an activity, it requires a person with higher levels of competence to undertake this. So other activities, for example consumer civil legal advice, industrial noise nuisance investigation, food factory inspection, all need both good communication skills and a high degree of competence to ensure correct legal process is followed and accurate legal advice is given. Hence, they require staff with significantly better specialist knowledge.

Similarly, with proactive work, businesses tend to fall into different spheres of influence, where the main focus of regulatory interest is either Food Hygiene/ Health and Safety/ Pollution or Food Standards/ Product Safety/ Fair Trading/ Weights and Measures. This fits with an assessment by the Trading Standards Service in Essex, where there was only a 3% overlap in businesses commonly contacted by both district EH teams and the county TS service. Given the key messages from businesses seem to be that they want a single point of contact, knowledgeable about the things that matter, it seems likely that their main contact will be either an officer with an Environmental Health background or an officer with a Trading Standards background.

We will continue to test these ideas going forward, mainly with our two geographic teams. Those two Managers will deal with demand in their areas without calling on staff from the other team, unless it is necessary, so prioritising activities and directing resource accordingly. This will help to show how far we can push our concept of making staff more generic and how helpful this actually is to service delivery.

We will continue to use the BRDO toolkit (RDNA) to support our officers in identifying the gaps in their competence. This tool, based on a model used by the Health and Safety Executive, has yet to provide a comprehensive suite of modules covering all local authority regulatory work, but there are plans to make this a reality over the coming year or two. The original modules (covering Food (Hygiene and Standards,) Weights and Measures, Health and Safety at Work and Animal Health are available along with a core Regulatory Skills module and a Leadership module for managers,) have been supplemented with further modules covering Fair Trading, some elements of Pollution Control and Product Safety. BRDO are continuing to look for support from local authority services to complete the suite of modules and the toolkit is likely to feature in the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) plan to replace the statutory weights and measures qualification. Currently, the only aspect of Trading Standards work with a specific qualification requirement is Weights and Measures, and BIS are seeking to replace this with one based on a code of practice which comprehensively covers all of BIS's legislative areas (Products Safety, Fair Trading, Weights and Measures, Credit, Underage Sales, etc). In addition a number of 'in house' technical training days are held to support cross training and increase awareness of the different professions knowledge base. This is proving to be a cost effective way of increasing the skills base of our work force and will therefore continue over the next 12 months and beyond as appropriate. The leadership team will continue its leadership development programme to increase business knowledge and improve leadership through strategic awareness.

## 13. BUSINESS CONTINUITY

Business continuity plans for the service are in development and we hope to take these through the process of Management Board and Joint Committee for information during 2013/14.

#### **14. LOCAL ENTERPRISE PARTNERSHIPS**

The year has seen the service increasingly engaged with the two Local Enterprise Partnerships (Greater Birmingham and Solihull, and Worcestershire,) with the aim of improving our relationships with local businesses, identifying their needs with a view to contributing to the growth of the local economy. The Business Charter for Regulators, launched during 2012 in both LEPs, clearly outlines the relationship that we are seeking to have with the business community in Worcestershire. We are currently engaged in a jointly funded project with the Worcestershire LEP which will seek to improve further the engagement of local businesses with WRS and highlight areas where we can assist them to trade well.

#### **15. OTHER PARTNERSHIPS**

The service continues to need to work closely with a range of partners to deliver what is required against a number of agendas. The importance of the seven local authority partners is recognised and we will continue to maintain our existing interfaces with other elements of these organisations e.g. Planning, Worcestershire Hub, Economic Development teams, etc. Customer demand will have a significant impact on the nature of these interfaces as we move the service forward. Close partnership working with a range of professional and community groups is key to further developing the service to deliver the outcomes required. Key partners for engagement include:

- West Mercia Police
- Hereford & Worcester Fire & Rescue Service
- The Environment Agency
- The Health and Safety Executive
- Health Protection Agency (will eventually return to the Department of Health)
- Local Partnership bodies e.g. District Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnerships (often known as Community Safety Partnerships)
- Citizens Advice Consumer Service, local Citizens Advice Bureaux and other 3<sup>rd</sup> sector organisations
- GP Consortia and Public Health team at the County Council
- Regional Regulatory Partnerships and National Bodies (TSI, ACTSO, NTSB, CIEH).
- West Mercia Police and Crime Commissioner

Existing links to these bodies will be maintained, along with our commitment to other groups operating under the umbrella of the Worcestershire Partnership.

#### **16. CONSULTATION/ ENGAGEMENT**

In relation to national consultations on legislative changes, we will address these through the relevant professional channels at both local and regional level. We will continue to engage local members in relation to local policy issues, especially around licensing matters. Some of the key areas for 2013/14 are mentioned in the Operating Environment description in Appendix D.

For general engagement with the wider community of elected members, we will provide at least 3 Member Newsletters per year so that all are updated on the various activities that the service undertakes across the County. We will try to make this information specific to districts where it is relevant to reassure members that our activities are seeking to protect everyone and support businesses across the whole of Worcestershire. Licensing specific newsletters will also be produced for committee members and these may be more frequent due to the nature of this function.




In terms of customer engagement, we will continue to work with colleagues at both the Worcestershire LEP and the Greater Birmingham and Solihull LEP on engaging with our business customers to improve our ability to address their needs. The approach outlined in our business charter will provide the basis for our interactions with the business community. We will continue to survey those businesses subject to interventions to identify how to improve and to help ensure businesses remain satisfied with our performance. We have also commissioned some work from the County Council's Research and Intelligence Team to look at the market for paid for business support service relating to regulation to help the service shape any commercial offering that WRS may wish to put into the market.

For members of the public, we will continue to survey customers who have used the service to look at how we dealt with their issues, not only from a satisfaction point of view, but also to see if they feel better equipped to deal with future problems. Helping people to help themselves is at the heart of "the Big Society" model of public service engagement and it is essential we move people down this route and reduce the expectation that we will always do it for them. We are currently looking at ways of engaging the wider public in relation to priorities for service delivery in the future. In the past, elements of the service have engaged with focus groups from the Worcestershire Citizens Panel and had questions in the various surveys run via this arrangement. We are looking at how we might use this process once again to get a picture of which aspects of our activities the general public regard as most important.

## **17. RISKS**

The service risk register is completed and has been approved by Management Board. It is included in the service forward plan so it is reviewed routinely at Board meetings by exception and fully on an annual basis. Therefore no other mention of risk will be included in this plan. A copy of the current Risk Register is appended at Appendix E.

**Appendix A**



**Appendix B: Regulatory Services Budget Table 2013/14**

<b>Revenue Budget</b>	<b>£ x 1000</b>
Employees	4150
Premises	194
Transport	215
Supplies & Service	647
Contractors	423
Income	-3
<b>Total</b>	<b>5626</b>

### Appendix C: Performance Measures Relating to Outcomes

	Measure	Reporting Frequency	What Good looks Like
1	% of service requests where resolution is achieved to customers satisfaction	Quarterly	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
2	% of service requests where resolution is achieved to business satisfaction	Quarterly	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
3	% businesses meeting purpose at first assessment/ inspection	Annually	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
4	% of food businesses scoring 0,1 or 2 at 1 <sup>st</sup> April each year	Annually	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
5	Value (£) of contracts that are subject to intervention by officers on behalf of customers.	Annually	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
6	Air Quality Action plans put in place where necessary to support delivery of improvement	Annually	Work completed
7	% of applicants for driver licenses rejected as not fit and proper	6-monthly	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
8	% of vehicles found to be defective whilst in service	6-monthly	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
9	% of service requests where customer indicates they feel better equipped to deal with issues themselves in future	Quarterly	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1
10	Review of register of complaints and compliments	Quarterly	Increasing compliments/ Reduced complaints
11	Staff sickness absence at public sector average or better	Quarterly	Average 8.75 or better
12	% of staff who enjoy working for WRS	Annually	Stable or Improving trend from baseline year 1

## Appendix D: Operating Environment

Government initiatives and policy changes likely to impact in the short to medium term.

### 1. **Better Regulation** agenda

The Government response to their consultation titled “Transforming Regulatory Outcomes” outlined where they felt this agenda needed to be taken. There was a clear dichotomy in Government’s view of local authority regulatory activity. BIS’s report stated “*there is evidence of good practice of regulators and business working together on compliance, there are too many areas where on the enforcement of regulation is heavy-handed, inefficient, overly prescriptive and culturally risk-averse,*” and, “*None of this should be taken as overlooking the important role regulation plays, safeguarding public health, for example, or protecting consumers and the environment*”.

The dominant view was and still is one of local authority regulators being a burden on business, introducing unnecessary bureaucracy in a risk-averse way that hinders economic growth. The Government view is that greater accountability; recognising and promoting good practice and greater transparency should form the basis of their strategy to improve the outcomes of enforcement activity. The report does recognise local authorities are

*“...responsible for securing compliance with a large and diverse range of regulations. They also provide support and high quality tailored advice of the kind which is particularly important to smaller business” and “Local regulatory professionals can provide low cost authoritative advice that can make a real difference to the way that local businesses operate.”*

Page 43

Key changes expected in the next 1- 2 years from Government remain:

- The introduction of a web based tool to collect information and views on regulators and enforcement (including local authorities);
- A review of all regulators to see where fundamental reform is required but a specific requirement that “they want a **sharp** reduction in overall unnecessary inspections and have a presumption that regulators primary role is to help businesses comply rather than to penalise them for not doing so”. It is clear that central government enforcement agencies will be subject to a rolling programme of these reviews and that these will impact on local authorities due to changing policies or guidance, but it is not clear if/how any reviews will be applied directly to local authorities;
- Publication of data listing all regulators, size, budget, level of activity including numbers of inspections and prosecutions and business views on behaviour and performance. Again there is no clarity as yet as to how this will apply to local authorities;
- Increasing focus on the Primary Authority (PA) scheme and Local Enterprise Partnerships as being critical going forward. In particular they quote LEPS as having, “...a lead role in transforming the way that regulation impacts at a local level”. WRS is looking to engage both of the LEPS that our constituent authorities are supporting and we will look at the best ways to engage. PA is view by Government as a positive measure (i.e. where a local authority provides support and guidance to a business with its head office in its area and this advice must be borne in mind by other regulators.) and the way to address many of the perceived issues of businesses and government;

- An extension of the PA scheme to cover other business models not currently covered (e.g. franchises, trade associations etc), an extension in scope to include age-related sales including gambling and knives. A pilot extension of PA into fire safety. At this point there is no intention to extend it to the Licensing Act due to concern raised by police and local authorities about the loss of local decision making and accountability BUT the report states “..we will continue to consider this issue carefully in consultation with local authorities and the police, including the consideration of a pilot within existing legislation”.
- The devil will be in the detail in relation to such an implementation and WRS will contribute to any further consultations on implementation;
- The Government also want to promote the role of co-regulation (i.e. the formal sharing of responsibility for compliance between the state and third parties). They state that “businesses should be rewarded for consistently good behaviour for example by reducing the burden of inspections”. WRS is seeking to deploy this approach for those areas where it is felt possible to make it work but also recognises that co-regulation is a misnomer in some areas (e.g. rogue trading, counterfeiting, etc). Primary authority is again quoted by Government as a key tool in delivering this vision. However, it is possible that both elected members and the public may express concerns about businesses not receiving inspections at their historical frequency and the closeness of the relationship engendered by the PA scheme between business and the regulator;
- A review and reinforcement of the Regulators Compliance Code.

## **2. Review of the Consumer Landscape**

The Department of Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) consulted on major changes to the consumer protection landscape in Great Britain during 2011/12 and many of these developments have occurred in 2012. Radical changes to the provision of consumer information, advice, education, and advocacy have taken place, with the Citizens Advice organisation becoming the lead agency for much of this work. They have taken over the running of a number of consumer advice call centres previously run by Consumer Direct and now being run in the Citizens Advice Consumer Service (CACS) umbrella. All consumer protection functions delivered regionally/nationally under various BIS direct grants (Illegal Money-lending and Scambuster teams,) and some work currently done by the Office of Fair Trading (OFT) are in the process of being delegated for delivery through the National Trading Standards Board (NTSB). A Shadow Board consisting of the former Trading Standards Policy Forum, supported by a Programme Office run on NTSB’s behalf by the Association of Chief Trading Standards Officers, is currently overseeing the transfer of some functions, with the establishment of the E-Crime Unit, to be run by the Yorkshire and Humberside Trading Standards Authorities, being the first piece commissioned to be delivered by lead council trading standards services or groups of trading standards services working together. Further elements like the OFT’s work on Estate Agency will follow, with the OFT as we know it ceasing to exist on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014 at which point a Chair of the NTSB will have been appointed and the Competition and Markets Authority will take over other OFT work.

WRS is currently represented on the Shadow Board, along with the other West Midlands authorities by the Chair of CEnTSA (Central England Trading Standards Authorities,) who is currently the Head of Trading Standards in Walsall. These changes will require all Trading Standards Services to focus on working with partners in the area and ensure WRS’s voice is heard at the national level via its Board representative.

These changes do not in any way affect the vast majority of Trading Standards work which will continue to be wholly delivered, funded and managed locally by councils, but it will have a big impact, and increase local authority control over, regionally and nationally delivered consumer protection work which helps Worcestershire residents in terms of dealing with loan sharks, internet frauds, major scams, etc. The delegation of functions from the OFT may also give WRS, with the support of our neighbours in CEnTSA, to bid to deliver elements of the OFT's functions on the national stage, which may assist in some of our cost off-setting activities.

### **3. Consumer Credit Regime**

The control regime for Consumer Credit will move from the Office of Fair Trading to the Financial Services Authority, which will, as part of this process, become known as the Financial Conduct Authority. The Consumer Credit Act 1974 has performed well but many in the industry now regard it as being outdated and inflexible. Some elements of the Act will remain so that some aspects of misconduct will retain criminal sanction, however, much of the current regime legislation (Regulations and Orders,) will be replaced by a handbook regime akin to that under which other financial services like mortgages and other financial securities are sold. Simon Wilkes, WRS Business Manager, has been representing the Association of Chief Trading Standards Officers during the initial consultation process, which will continue in the coming year. The new regime will commence on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014, with a transitional period through to 1<sup>st</sup> April 2016. The Financial Services Authority is keen that local authorities continue to work locally with businesses and consumers, that they continue their commitments in relation to illegal money-lending controls and that they remain active partners within the control regime going forward.

### **4. Age Restricted Sales**

The Better Regulation Deliver Office (BRDO) have published a framework of principles clarifying responsibilities of all parties affected by sales of age-restricted products and are developing a new code of practice.

The responsibilities of regulators focus on being transparent, targeted and proportionate and WRS already operates in this way so this is unlikely to impact on our approach. However as yet the detail of the Code has not been published, nor is it clear whether or not the Government intend to make it voluntary and mandatory. This could affect the enforcement of age restricted sales legislation in all areas from next year.

### **5. Macdonald Report Into Farming Regulation**

The Farming Regulation Task Force made over 200 recommendations to DEFRA which are still working their way through the system. It concluded that ***“DEFRA, its agencies and delivery partners need to establish an entirely new approach to regulation, including a tighter risk based approach to regulation, rewarding good practice with less frequent inspection.”***

The Government has highlighted a range of developments that may change how WRS undertakes its on-farm regulation over the coming years, including:

- A review all key areas of farming regulation over the next 2 years
- an increasing emphasis on partnership approaches
- Improving Inspections through Earned Recognition
- Improved data sharing between local authorities and DEFRA
- Services and guidance will be on-line where possible

- Changes to the Nitrates Directive and the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control regime for farms.
- Development of E-reporting of sheep movements
- Possible changes to livestock standstill controls in the event of a disease outbreak. Animal Health (formerly the State Veterinary Service) continues to express concerns regarding the disease profile in Europe and the apparent march westwards on various notifiable diseases. There is currently significant concern in relation to a number of the horse diseases which, if they arrived in the UK, could cause significant disruption and financial damage to the horse racing industry and its associated activities.

## **6. Public Health and the Health & Well-being Agenda**

Due to changes brought about by the Health and Social Care Act 2012, responsibility for public health in Worcestershire moves to The County Council and Clinical Commissioning Groups on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013. This correspondingly sees the demise of the local Primary Care Trust. The new statutory responsibilities placed on the County Council will be discharged via the newly established Worcestershire Health and Well-being Board; the forum where local leaders will come together to improve the health and wellbeing of our population.

Through widespread consultation, the Board have developed and published Worcestershire's Joint Health and Well-being Strategy (the Strategy) which is a statement of the Board's vision, priorities and goals for 2012-15, based on the findings of the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment. The Strategy provides a basis for the public to hold local organisations to account for achieving the stated outcomes. The Strategy recognises the need for joined up action to tackle issues that will benefit from multi-agency working and the Board will work with all partners, including Worcestershire Regulatory Services, to help align policies, services, resources and activities with the Strategy.

The Board's vision is that ***Worcestershire residents are healthier, live longer and have a better quality of life, especially those communities and groups whose health is currently poorest.*** Its priorities are:

- Older people and management of long term conditions
- Mental health
- Obesity
- Alcohol

A key threat to our health and well-being is the growing burden of lifestyle related ill-health, particularly obesity and excess alcohol consumption, which is placing growing pressure on health and social care services. Two thirds of adults in Worcestershire and one third of children at eleven years old are overweight. One in five adults drink alcohol at a level which poses a risk to their health and nearly half of 11-15 year olds have drunk alcohol. Smoking is on the decline but a fifth of adults continue to smoke.

To meet this challenge, WRS will contribute to initiatives around prevention, early intervention and early help to preserve people's health and independence and avoid the need for expensive medical treatments and specialist services.

## **7. Changes to Powers**

The Consumer Rights Bill is expected to contain provision to simplify and rationalise many different sets of powers which are currently contained in a plethora of different legislation covered by the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS). This is claimed to be partly to assist



officers in ensuring that powers are common across Acts, however part of the driver is undoubtedly the Home Office's remit to protect public freedoms and to reduce and limit powers of entry unless they are necessary.

The Home Office has published guidance on this and each Department of State will be required to review any legislation with powers that fall within its remit and establish if those powers are proportionate to what is sought to be achieved. Concerns have been expressed that through this process, government will seek to remove the local authority officer's ability to enter some premises without a court warrant and to limit the exercise of unannounced entry powers (entering to inspect without pre-obtained permission,) to situations where officers have reason to believe offences have been committed. Indeed, the Home Office's guidance makes it quite clear that this should be the default position.

This would limit officer's ability to undertake unannounced inspection activity. As yet the detail is not entirely clear but, from the response to the consultation process, it seems almost certain that BIS will:

- Require officers to obtain a magistrates entry warrant before going unannounced into premises, part of which is used as a domestic dwelling,
- Remove the right of entry without permission unless an officer has reason to believe an offence has been committed.

This will not impact on officer's ability to purchase or sample products without announcing who they are, however, it may curtail officer's ability to undertake unannounced inspection for weights and measures, product safety and fair trading functions. Given the changes in approach to delivering these Trading Standards functions, by becoming more intelligence led, we believe that these changes will have limited implications.

The Home Office also seems to accept that, where an EU Directive requires unannounced inspection as part of the enforcement regime, this overrides their view, so the Food enforcement regime should be unaffected by these changes. It does however create an interesting conundrum where an officer can enter premises unannounced to inspect food, but not any BIS-regulated product sold or practice undertaken in a shop.

#### **8. Food Standards Agency Fundamental Review Of Enforcement**

A change at the top of the Food Standards Agency has led to the feeling that this process will not be the threat originally envisaged to local authority involvement in the food enforcement regime. Previously concerns were expressed that the Agency was looking at options to centralise activities totally, creating its own inspectorate to undertake Food Hygiene, Food Standards (Composition and Labelling) and Animal Feed (Hygiene, Composition and Labelling) enforcement work. These are the three aspects of our work currently overseen by the Food Standards Agency and upon which the Code of Practice and the Local Authority Enforcement Framework would have us report.

These latter two documents are likely to be reviewed significantly with the Code of Practice becoming slimmer and less prescriptive. There is still a possibility that the Agency will want to centralise Animal Feed related work. This is because various EU Feed and Veterinary Missions have been highly critical of the lack of activity being undertaken by local authorities on this aspect of work. There is a possibility that the FSA could seek to commission this work through the National Trading Standards Board (NTSB) mechanism, where it would be subject to bidding from local authority groups for funding to undertake the work in their wider regions.

### **9. Licensing Act 2003 – Changes to Fee-setting arrangements and consultation**

From 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013, the centralised approach to fee setting for the Licensing Act 2003 where central government set a fee structure based on the rateable value of properties will be revoked and local authorities will be able to set their own fees.

However, the usual caveat of fees being on a cost recovery basis only, which according to case law excludes the cost of enforcement activity, will apply. An initial examination of the current income against cost profile undertaken by officers would suggest that fees are unlikely to be affected anywhere in Worcestershire.

In 2014/15, the Licensing Act 2003 policies of all of the 6 district partners will be up for review. To ensure that this is done in a timely fashion, officers intend to begin the engagement process with local elected members towards the end of 2013/14 as a number of changes to the provisions of the Act will have to be included within the new policy documents.

### **10. IPPC - Reduced Burdens**

DEFRA seem keen to reduce the burdens on businesses operating permitted processes, however, we have yet to see a fully coherent stream emerge from the Department. Initial conversations with the Ministry have been more around what an acceptable reduction in the inspection regime from local authorities would look like, with DEFRA suggesting no adverse comment would be made if authorities undertook at least 70% of their scheduled visit related activity. This misses the point of government's de-regulation agenda and tallies poorly with the work undertaken by WRS in relation to systems thinking, which suggests that the vast majority of IPPC inspection is pointless and that the targeting of poorly performing premises based on intelligence and complaints, plus broader reviews of business activity to identify those operating processes illegally without permits ought to be the priorities. We will continue to lobby DEFRA to see if they are willing to adopt a more logical approach to regulation in this area.

### **11. Air Quality – Change to Delivery of function**

During 2012/13 it was suggested that there may be a change of location for the air quality function from lower tier to higher tier authorities. This was on the basis that the majority of air quality issues related to traffic management, a higher tier (County) function, so the separation of the two made it difficult to address the issue corporately. This has yet to appear on the legislative timetable but we will continue to monitor DEFRA's outputs to see if this is still on their agenda.

### **12. Health and Safety Executive – Change of emphasis away from inspection-based interventions**

With de-regulation and reducing burdens in mind, the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) has amended its guidance to local authorities to ensure that only those highest risk businesses are subject to intervention, with others being dealt with by other strategies. This approach has been adopted for the majority of Trading Standards related functions for a number of years and is likely to be continued in other areas of work like Food Safety.

**Appendix E: Risk Register**  
**(See attached spreadsheets)**

This page is intentionally left blank

**Risk Scorecard**

Category	LOW	IMPACT MEDIUM	HIGH
<b>Financial</b>	< £150k Minor non-compliance with internal financial procedures	£150k - £300k Significant non-compliance with internal financial procedures	> £300k Major non-compliance with internal financial procedures
<b>Political</b>	Minor issues identified by assurance reviews Minor adverse Local media	Significant issues identified by assurance reviews Significant adverse Local media	Major issues identified by assurance reviews Major adverse Local, Regional or National media Major BVPI issues
<b>Social</b>	Minor BVPI issues Service delay Stakeholders consulted and concerns considered	Significant BVPI issues Service suspended Limited stakeholder consultation	Major BVPI issues Service cancelled Stakeholders not consulted and concerns not considered
<b>Technical</b>	Minor system problems Minor impact on resources (staff, equipment, buildings, etc.)	Significant system problems Significant impact on resources (staff, equipment, buildings, etc.)	Major system problems Major impact on resources (staff, equipment, buildings, etc.)
<b>Legal</b>	Minor non-compliance with legislation or statutory requirements	Significant non-compliance with legislation or statutory requirements	Major non-compliance with legislation or statutory requirements
<b>Environmental</b>	Minor penalty or warning Minor District health or cleanliness issues Minor schemes not consistent with stakeholder expectations	Significant penalty or warning Significant District health or cleanliness issues Significant schemes not consistent with stakeholder expectations	Major penalty or warning Major District health or cleanliness issues Major schemes not consistent with stakeholder expectations
<b>Performance (WETT, Shared Service)</b>	Minor dip in productivity/performance as a result of shared service implementation	Significant dip in productivity/performance as a result of shared service implementation	Major dip in productivity/performance as a result of shared service implementation
<b>Climate Change (Severe Weather Events)</b>	Minor service delay e.g. waste collection cancelled as a result of snow; prolonged heat leading to melting roads; increased risk of fires.	Significant disruption to services e.g. potential risk to health; lack of power.	Major service delivery issues e.g. leading to loss of life; major damage to property, disruption to local economy.

Category	LOW	LIKELIHOOD MEDIUM	HIGH
<b>Occurrence Probability</b>	> 18 months < 30%	6 - 18 months 30% - 70%	< 6 months > 70%

**Risk Matrix**

Likelihood	Impact		
	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
HIGH	3	6	9
MEDIUM	2	4	6
LOW	1	2	3

**Action Ratings**

<b>High</b>	Actions that are <b>fundamental</b> to improve the control environment and progress towards an acceptable risk score.
<b>Medium</b>	Actions that are <b>important</b> to improve the control environment and progress towards an acceptable risk score.
<b>Low</b>	Actions that are <b>desirable</b> to improve the control environment and progress towards an acceptable risk score.



Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls
		Outcomes of Systems Thinking fed into design of ICT spec	Budget available to use temporary staff or buy in use of other private sector providers in short term	Maintain robust emergency plans
		Procurement is part of the project plan and timescales agreed by management Board.	Effective negotiation of new contracts during 2011/12	An arrangement in place for Managers to deploy staff to support other teams

Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements			
10.1	Medium	Maintain ongoing liaison with host authority	14.1	High	Clear timetable for application of systems thinking in all areas	15.1	High	Design specification correctly and on time	4.1	Medium	Design specifications contracts correctly and on time	6.1	Medium	Maintain emergency plans for foreseeable incidents
10.2	Medium	Ensure Management Board informed of significant failings	14.2	High	Implement changes in timetable	15.2	High	Follow procurement process in timely fashion	4.2	Medium	Follow procurement process in timely fashion	6.2		
10.3	High	Host authority to deal with issues in a timely fashion	14.3	Medium	Effective communication with staff around change procedures	15.3	High	Ensure sufficient in-house support for system maintenance and	4.3	Medium	Restructure dog warden service	6.3		
10.4			14.4	Medium	Regular reports to Management Board	15.4			4.4			6.4		
10.5			14.5	Low	Ensure all managers and senior practitioners have had change management training	15.5			4.5			6.5		
10.6			14.6			15.6			4.6			6.6		
10.7			14.7			15.7			4.7			6.7		
10.8			14.8			15.8			4.8			6.8		
10.9			14.9			15.9			4.9			6.9		
10.10			14.10			15.10			4.10			6.1		
10.11			14.11			15.11			4.11			6.11		
10.12			14.12			15.12			4.12			6.12		
10.13			14.13			15.13			4.13			6.13		
10.14			14.14			15.14			4.14			6.14		
10.15			14.15			15.15			4.15			6.15		





Current Key Controls	Current	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls
	Staff are equipped for mobile/home working	Service priorities to be managed and partners informed of any changes to service	Use of competent staff to undertake investigations	Devolution of cost centres to managers	Keep key government stakeholders apprised of WRS plans and business transformation and address any concerns at an early stage
	Touchdown stations available in partner council locations	Short term contract workers can be brought in to cover any priority areas	Proper scheme of delegation to ensure authority to take legal decision is clear	Monthly reporting within WRS	
		Consultants can provide short term cover	Clear enforcement of policy in place	Quarterly reporting to management board and Joint Committee	
		Active within regional and sub regional groups to share resources if required	Ensure compliance with legal procedures	Compliance with Bromsgrove's financial procedures	
		Effective training and development processes in place to ensure recruitment and retention of staff	Effective liaison with partner councils legal services departments		

Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements						
9.1	High	Partners conform with legal agreement on budgetary cost	2.1	Low	Mobile / flexible working equipment for all staff	3.1	Low	Have business continuity plan in place	5.1	Medium	Legal advice to be sought throughout the entire process using internal and external lawyers according to the information required.	7.1	Medium	Monthly monitoring of budgets	8.1	Low	Ongoing liaison with Government stakeholders
9.2			2.2	Low	Have business continuity plan in place	3.2	Medium	Active participation regional, sub regional groups by team members	5.2			7.2	Low	Regular report to Management Board	8.2		
9.3			2.3			3.3			5.3			7.3			8.3		
9.4			2.4			3.4			5.4			7.4			8.4		
9.5			2.5			3.5			5.5			7.5			8.5		
9.6			2.6			3.6			5.6			7.6			8.6		
9.7			2.7			3.7			5.7			7.7			8.7		
9.8			2.8			3.8			5.8			7.8			8.8		
9.9			2.9			3.9			5.9			7.9			8.9		
9.10			2.10			3.10			5.11			7.11			8.11		
9.11			2.11			3.11			5.11			7.11			8.11		
9.12			2.12			3.12			5.12			7.12			8.12		
9.13			2.13			3.13			5.13			7.13			8.13		
9.14			2.14			3.14			5.14			7.14			8.14		
9.15			2.15			3.15			5.15			7.15			8.15		



Current Key Controls		Current Key Controls		Current Key Controls		Current Key Controls	
Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Action Ref.	Rating
11.1	Medium	Ensure good communications back to the constituent authorities	12.1	Medium	Ongoing liaison with relevant parts in partner councils (eg Planning)	13.1	Medium
11.2	Low	Ensure all publicity pushes the joint nature of services	12.2			13.2	Medium
11.3	Low	Maintaining "localism" into the operational delivery	12.3			13.3	
11.4			12.4			13.4	
11.5			12.5			13.5	
11.6			12.6			13.6	
11.7			12.7			13.7	
11.8			12.8			13.8	
11.9			12.9			13.9	
11.10			12.10			13.10	
11.11			12.11			13.11	
11.12			12.12			13.12	
11.13			12.13			13.13	
11.14			12.14			13.14	
11.15			12.15			13.15	
						15.1	
						15.2	
						15.3	
						15.4	
						15.5	
						15.6	
						15.7	
						15.8	
						15.9	
						15.10	
						15.11	
						15.12	
						15.13	
						15.14	
						15.15	

Service: #REF!	Current Position Key:
	Behind Target
	On Target
	Completed

Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
1	One effective and efficient database system across the partners	1.1	Design specification correctly and on time	SW	Business Manager	Oct-11	High		
		1.2	Follow procurement process in timely fashion	SW	Business Manager	Mar-12	High		
		1.3	Ensure sufficient in-house support for system maintenance and	SW	Business Manager	Mar-12	High		
		1.4							
		1.5							
		1.6							
		1.7							
		1.8							
		1.9							
		1.10							
		1.11							
		1.12							
		1.13							
		1.14							
		1.15							

2	Effective and efficient Business Continuity arrangements in place	2.1	Mobile / flexible working equipment for all staff	Team Managers	Team Managers	Dec-11	Low		
		2.2	Have business continuity plan in place	Level B	Business Manager	Mar-12	Low		
		2.3							
		2.4							
		2.5							
		2.6							
		2.7							
		2.8							
		2.9							
		2.10							
		2.11							
		2.12							
		2.13							
		2.14							
		2.15							

Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
3	Maintain our capacity to achieve service delivery	3.1	Have business continuity plan in place	Level B	Business Manager	Mar-12	Low		
		3.2	Active participation regional, sub regional groups by team members	Team Managers	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		3.3							
		3.4							
		3.5							
		3.6							
		3.7							
		3.8							
		3.9							
		3.10							
		3.11							
		3.12							
		3.13							
		3.14							
		3.15							
4	Effective and efficient contract arrangement for dog control	4.1	Design specifications contracts correctly and on time	WM/AF	Business Manager/Team Manager (Central Operations)	Sep-11	Medium		
		4.2	Follow procurement process in timely fashion	WM/AF	Business Manager/Team Manager (Central Operations)	Dec-11	Medium		
		4.3	Restructure dog warden service	Level B/AF	Business Manager/Team Manager (Central Operations)	Mar-12	Medium		
		4.4							
		4.5							
		4.6							
		4.7							
		4.8							
		4.9							
		4.10							
		4.11							
		4.12							
		4.13							
		4.14							
		4.15							
5	Robust arrangements in place in relation to obtaining legal advice and monitoring legislative changes	5.1	Legal advice to be sought throughout the entire process using internal and external lawyers according to the information required.	Team Managers	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		5.2							
		5.3							
		5.4							
		5.5							
		5.6							
		5.7							
		5.8							
		5.9							
		5.10							
		5.11							
		5.12							
		5.13							
		5.14							
		5.15							

Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
6	Robust arrangements in place to respond to an environmental incident/disaster	6.1	Maintain emergency plans for foreseeable incidents	Team Manager	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		6.2							
		6.3							
		6.4							
		6.5							
		6.6							
		6.7							
		6.8							
		6.9							
		6.10							
		6.11							
		6.12							
		6.13							
		6.14							
		6.15							
7	Effective and efficient budgetary control	7.1	Monthly monitoring of budgets	Team Managers	Team manager	Ongoing	Medium		
		7.2	Regular report to Management Board	SJ	Head of Regulatory Services	Ongoing	Low		
		7.3							
		7.4							
		7.5							
		7.6							
		7.7							
		7.8							
		7.9							
		7.10							
		7.11							
		7.12							
		7.13							
		7.14							
		7.15							
8	Service provision complies with Government requirements	8.1	Ongoing liaison with Government stakeholders	SJ	Head of Regulatory Services	Ongoing	Low		
		8.2							
		8.3							
		8.4							
		8.5							
		8.6							
		8.7							
		8.8							
		8.9							
		8.10							
		8.11							
		8.12							
		8.13							
		8.14							
		8.15							
9	Achieve stable levels of contribution from partner authorities,	9.1	Partners conform with legal agreement on budgetary cost	Management Board	Management Board members	Ongoing	High		
		9.2							

Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
		9.3							
		9.4							
		9.5							
		9.6							
		9.7							
		9.8							
		9.9							
		9.10							
		9.11							
		9.12							
		9.13							
		9.14							
		9.15							

Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments		
<b>10</b>	Host provides high quality support services to ensure effective service provision	10.1	Maintain ongoing liaison with host authority	Team Managers and Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers and Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium				
				10.2	Ensure Management Board informed of significant failings	SJ	Head of Regulatory Services	Ongoing	Medium		
				10.3	Host authority to deal with issues in a timely fashion	KD	Chief Executive, Bromsgrove	Ongoing	High		
				10.4							
				10.5							
				10.6							
				10.7							
				10.8							
				10.9							
				10.10							
				10.11							
				10.12							
				10.13							
				10.14							
				10.15							

<b>11</b>	Minimise any perceived or real democratic deficit	11.1	Ensure good communications back to the constituent authorities	Team Managers and Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers, Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium				
				11.2	Ensure all publicity pushes the joint nature of services	Team Managers and Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers, Team Managers	Ongoing	Low		
				11.3	Maintaining "localism" into the operational delivery	Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers,	Ongoing	Low		
				11.4							
				11.5							
				11.6							
				11.7							
				11.8							
				11.9							
				11.10							
				11.11							
				11.12							
				11.13							
				11.14							
				11.15							

<b>12</b>	Effective communication with internal partners	12.1	Ongoing liaison with relevant parts in partner councils (eg Planning)	Team Manager	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium			
				12.2						
				12.3						
				12.4						
				12.5						
				12.6						
				12.7						
				12.8						
				12.9						
				12.10						
				12.11						
				12.12						
				12.13						



Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
		12.14							
		12.15							

13	Development where possible of harmonised approach to service delivery by partners		Have clear scripting for Customer Service staff so that they know the different provisions in each district	Team Manager	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		13.1	Gradually move towards a more standardised approach within the demands of individual local authorities	Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		13.2							
		13.3							
		13.4							
		13.5							
		13.6							
		13.7							
		13.8							
		13.9							
		13.10							
		13.11							
		13.12							
		13.13							
		13.14							
		13.15							

14	Business transformation deliverer required cost savings		Clear timetable for application of systems thinking in all areas	DM	Team manager Support Services	Sep-11	High		
		14.1	Implement changes in timetable	Team Managers and Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers, Team Managers	Dec-11	High		
		14.2	Effective communication with staff around change procedures	Team Managers and Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers, Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		14.3	Regular reports to Management Board	SJ	Head of Regulatory Services,	Ongoing	Medium		
		14.4	Ensure all managers and senior practitioners have had change management training	Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers,	30/09/11	Low		
		14.5							
		14.6							
		14.7							
		14.8							
		14.9							
		14.10							
		14.11							
		14.12							
		14.13							
		14.14							
		14.15							

15	#REF!	15.1							
		15.2							
		15.3							
		15.4							
		15.5							
		15.6							
		15.7							
		15.8							
		15.9							

Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
		15.10							
		15.11							
		15.12							
		15.13							
		15.14							
		15.15							

Completed by: #REF!
Job Title: #REF!
Date: #REF!

## Joint Committee 21<sup>st</sup> February 2013

### WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES BUDGET MONITORING APRIL – NOVEMBER 2012

#### **Recommendation**

It is recommended that the Joint Committee:

- 1.1 Consider and note the financial position for the period April – November 2012
- 1.2 To agree an extension of the basis of charging to June 2013

#### **Contribution to Priorities**

The robust financial management arrangements ensure the priorities of the service can be delivered effectively.

#### **Introduction/Summary**

This report presents the financial position for Worcestershire Regulatory Services for the period April – November 2012.

#### **Background**

As part of the financial management arrangements relating to the service a regular monitoring statement is produced for members to ensure costs are managed within the budget approved by participating councils.

#### **Report**

##### Revenue Monitoring

The detailed revenue report is attached at Appendix 1. This shows a current underspend of £164k (to the end of November) and a predicted year end saving of £193k. This underspend is mainly due to :

- A number of vacant posts within the service together with savings resulting from maternity leave. The underspend is offset by the costs associated with additional agency staff being used to cover the vacancies and to backfill for those staff that have been seconded to support the service transformation project, this cost has reduced due to continued difficulty in recruiting agency staff at the level required.
- Costs associated with additional work for partners, eg bereavement charges will be offset by additional income received.
- Any grant funded expenditure is shown separate to the core service costs as this is not funded by the participating Councils.

## ICT System Projected Costs

Appendix 2 details the anticipated expenditure for the one off costs associated with the implementation of the project. As previously reported there is a saving of £282k from the costs originally included in the business case.

Due to the procurement and negotiation of the final details of the contract, it is anticipated that the implementation costs will be spent during 2012/13 and 2013/14 as shown in appendix 2. This projection is being revised on a monthly basis.

In addition to the one off costs there will be annual revenue costs resulting from the software, licence charges and managed service charges with the new system. These costs are currently estimated at £79k pa and are included in appendix 2.

It is anticipated that funding for these costs will only be required from participating councils for two years.

## Revised Charging 2013/14

It was anticipated that a review of charging would be undertaken by April 2013. Officers are continuing to work through the options and variable methods of reallocating costs, it is proposed that a formal review be completed by June 2013.

### **Financial Implications**

None other than those stated in the report

### **Sustainability**

None as a direct result of this report

### **Contact Points**

Jayne Pickering – 01527-881400

### **Background Papers**

Detailed financial business case

	Summary - Full year Budget	Summary - Budget 8 Mths Nov 12	Summary - £'000	Summary - Expenditure to Nov 12	Summary - £'000	Summary - Variance	Summary - £'000	Summary - Projected outturn	Summary - £'000	Summary - Projected Outturn Variance	Summary - £'000
<b>Direct Expenditure</b>											
Employees											
Salary	4,124	2,749	2,749	2,557	-191	3,863	-261				
Agency Staff	3	1	1	38	37	110	108				
Recruitment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Subscription	2	1	1	8	7	9	6				
Training	2	1	1	1	0	2	-0				
Employee Insurance	19	13	13	13	-0	19	0				
<b>Sub-Total - Employees</b>	<b>4,150</b>	<b>2,765</b>	<b>2,765</b>	<b>2,617</b>	<b>-148</b>	<b>4,003</b>	<b>-147</b>				
<b>Premises</b>											
Rent	88	59	59	49	-10	70	-18				
Room Hire	3	2	2	1	-1	4	1				
Business Rates	35	35	35	38	3	38	3				
Cleaning	10	6	6	5	-1	10	-0				
Repairs & Maintenance	4	2	2	1	-1	3	-1				
Service Charges	17	11	11	7	-5	11	-6				
Secure Storage	17	9	9	11	2	17	0				
Utilities	18	6	6	5	-0	18	0				
Water & Sewerage Services	2	1	1	1	-0	2	-0				
<b>Sub-Total - Premises</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>-13</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>-22</b>				
<b>Transport</b>											
Vehicle Hire	12	6	6	7	1	12	1				
Vehicle Fuel	7	4	4	4	-0	7	0				
Tyres	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Road Fund Tax	1	1	1	1	0	1	0				
Vehicle Insurance	3	3	3	4	0	4	0				
Vehicle Maintenance	2	2	2	2	0	2	0				
Car Lease	7	5	5	4	-1	4	-3				
Car Allowances	183	115	115	106	-8	174	-9				
Public Transport	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
<b>Sub-Total - Transport</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>-8</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>-11</b>				

Underspend is due to Vacancies,  
Secondments, LTS, Maternity  
Agency staff recruited during  
transformation / vacancies - Difficulty in  
recruiting to the correct level

	Summary - Full year Budget	Summary - Budget 8 Mths Nov 12	Summary - Expenditure to Nov 12	Summary - Variance	Summary - Projected outturn	Summary - Projected Outturn Variance
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
<b>Direct Expenditure</b>						
<b>Supplies and Services</b>						
Furniture & Equipment	46	18	15		43	-4
Test Purchases	12	3	1	-2	10	-2
Clothes, uniforms and laundry	5	1	1	-0	4	-0
Printing & Photocopying	27	14	12	-2	23	-4
CRB Checks (taxi)	28	21	21	0	28	0
Publications	10	7	9	2	10	1
Postage	12	8	8	-0	12	0
ICT	69	66	68	2	83	14
Legal Costs	7	0	0	-0	6	-0
Telephones	41	26	24	-0	38	-3
Training & Seminars	60	20	37	17	94	34
Car Parking & Subsistence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Insurance	20	13	13	0	20	0
Miscellaneous Expenses	1	0	1	1	2	1
Third Party Payments						
Support Service Recharges	250	167	167	0	250	0
Customer Services Hub	50	33	33	0	50	0
Audit	10	7	7	0	10	0
<b>Sub-Total - Supplies &amp; Service</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Contractors</b>						
Dog Warden	145	94	94	-0	145	0
Pest Control	40	27	31	5	55	15
Analytical Services - Trading Standard	95	63	64	1	96	1
Trading Standards	8	3	2	-1	6	-1
Licensing	14	4	4	-1	13	-1
Other contractors/consultants	18	1	2	1	18	1
Water Safety	5	3	6	2	7	2
Food Safety	8	2	0	-2	6	-2
Health & Safety	2	0	0	-0	1	-0
Environmental Protection	33	23	37	14	48	15
Taxi Tests	31	19	19	-0	31	0
Grants / Subscriptions	14	8	13	4	15	1
Advertising	11	2	1	-1	10	-1
Publicity & Promotions	2	0	0	0	2	-0
CRB Checks	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>29</b>

Training programme agreed to be funded from 2011/12 underspend

Income of £17k received from Severn Trent for Sewer Baiting, offset in Income

Bereavement / Works in Default to be charged to relevant partners

	Summary - Full year Budget	Summary - Budget 8 Mths Nov 12	Summary - Expenditure to Nov 12	Summary - Variance	Summary - Projected outturn	Summary - Projected Outturn Variance
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
<b>Direct Expenditure</b>						
<b>Income</b>						
Car Lease Contributions / Training Courses / Bereavement / Works in Default / Sewer Baiting etc	-3	-2	-14	-13	-46	-44
Training Reserve From 2011/12			-17	-17	-35	-35
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>-3</b>	<b>-2</b>	<b>-31</b>	<b>-29</b>	<b>-81</b>	<b>-79</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,626</b>	<b>3,684</b>	<b>3,520</b>	<b>-164</b>	<b>5,433</b>	<b>-193</b>

Percentage saving from original budget £7,181 in 2010-11

24.34%

#### Grant Funded Spend

	Spend to Nov'12	Remaining Balance	Funded By
Nutrition For Older People	1		16 Primary Care Trust
Health & Well Being	0		48 Primary Care Trust
DEFRA Grant - Smallwood Cadmium	0		2 DEFRA
DEFRA Grant - Marlpool Drive	30		0 DEFRA
DEFRA	0		22 DEFRA
Food Hygiene Rating System	17		0 Food Standards Agency
			Unconditional
			Conditional
			Conditional
			Conditional
			Grant claimed after completion of project

Grant Income

**Total** **0** **-48** **88**

Notes:-

This page is intentionally left blank



Capital Asset/ Investment description	Budget - 12/13 £'000	Spend to Date - 12/13 £'000	Budget - 13/14 £'000	Revenue System Budget 2014/15 & 2015/16
<b>ICT - Capital</b>				
Software Licences (break down into individual modules if appropriate)	96			
Software	50	37		
Mobile Working Devices	0		120	
Hardware required including implementation (e.g. servers onsite or hosted - please describe)	110	33		
Modifications and software customisation	0		14	
Systems integration and interface development (cost per interface if possible on separate lines)	7		3	
Data Cleansing / Transfer	230	46	99	
<b>Sub-Total Capital</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>236</b>	
<b>ICT - Revenue (one off only)</b>				
Project Management / Hosting	65	28	65	
Training for end users	0		19	
<b>Sub-Total Revenue</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>84</b>	
<b>Annual Software License etc</b>				
Software Licences			12	12
Other Licences			8	8
Hosting Costs			59	59
<b>Sub-Total Annual software license etc</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>RIEP Funding to be drawn down</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>18</b>	
<b>TOTAL TO BE FUNDED BY PARTNERS</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>79</b>

Partner Transformation Project Contributions - Based on Business Case at Budget	Total Contribution 12-13 £'000	Spend to Date £'000	Partner Contribution %
Bromsgrove	47	4	11.05%
Worcs City	47	4	11.11%
Worcs County	126	9	29.58%
Malvern Hills	41	3	9.58%
Redditch	48	4	11.31%
Wychavon	70	5	16.55%
Wyre Forest	46	3	10.82%
<b>Total</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

	£
<b>Budget as per Business Case</b>	<b>1,538</b>
<b>Funded by:-</b>	
Spend 2010/11 - Funded by partners	101
Spend 2011/12 - Funded by RIEP	119
Annual Revenue Funding Requirement 2014/15	79
Funding Requirement From Partners 2013/14	806
RIEP Funding to be drawn down	151
<b>Total Project</b>	<b>1,256</b>
<b>SAVINGS FROM ORIGINAL BUSINESS CASE</b>	<b>282</b>

This page is intentionally left blank